

# A Debugger for Communicating Scala Objects

#### Andrew Bate and Gavin Lowe

Department of Computer Science University of Oxford

# Overview



- Implementation of a GUI debugger for Scala+CSO
- Extracts information from the use of concurrency primitives at runtime
- Produces:
  - Sequence diagram
  - Communication network diagram
  - Composition tree diagram
- Dynamic detection of deadlock
- Behavioural specifications on trace patterns
- Guarantees to detect illegal use of currency primitives

# Two Models



#### Process Model

Describes the syntactic composition of processes and the way in which those processes may communicate

#### Thread Event Model

Logs the runtime behaviour of the system, including attempted and successful communications







# **Dining Philosophers Problem**





# **Generating Hamming Numbers**

- The Hamming numbers are those whose only prime factors are 2, 3 and 5.
- Thus, inductively:
  - 1 is a Hamming number.
  - If h is a Hamming number, then so are 2h, 3h and 5h.



### **Communication Network**



### Running the Program...

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **Contention Network**

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Deadlock Detection**

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Deadlock can only occur if the communication network contains a cycle

The Hamming numbers program contains a cycle

 Deadlock occurs when there is a cycle of ungranted requests *without escape*

– This is the fate of the Hamming numbers program

- We can detect deadlock at runtime by recording:
  - The processes currently trying to ! and ? to a channel
  - The processes that may ever ! and ? from a channel

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Cycle broken at step t + 1

S

# Algorithm

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 1. If <u>communication</u> network acyclic, then deadlock free.
- 2. Otherwise:
  - a) Define the *leaves* be all those processes without ungranted requests in the <u>contention</u> network
  - b) Label the leaves and *all processes with a path to* the leaves as *not deadlocked*
  - c) If some process is unmarked then some subnetwork is deadlocked

# **Behavioural Specifications**

- Can be specified in the CSO program
- Akin to programing with assertions
- Specifications are constraints on trace patterns
- Two flavours:
  - 1. Specify function  $f: \text{List}[E] \rightarrow \text{Boolean to be}$ checked, where  $E \leq \text{SelfLoggedEvent}$
  - Specify a state machine with update function.
     The assertion is then the set of legal states.

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

```
Marker trait used to define specs
trait CounterSpecEvent extends SelfLoggedEvent
object A extends CounterSpecEvent
object B extends CounterSpecEvent
val spec = new Logger ({
  trace: List[CounterSpecEvent] =>
    val diff = trace.count(_ == A) - trace.count(_ == B)
    0 <= diff && diff <= 1
})
val c = ManyOne[CounterSpecEvent]
```

```
def P = proc("P"){ repeat { c!A; spec.log(A) }
def Q = proc("Q"){ repeat { c!B; spec.log(B) }
```

def Consumer = proc("Consumer"){ repeat { println(c?) } }
val System = P || Q || Consumer

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

Marker trait used to define specs trait CounterSpecEvent extends SelfLoggedEvent object A extends CounterSpecEvent object B extends CounterSpecEvent

```
val statefulSpec = new StatefulLogger[Int,CounterSpecEvent] (
    0,
    (diff,evt) => evt match { case A => diff+1; case B => diff-1 },
    diff => (0 <= diff && diff <= 1)
)</pre>
```

val c = ManyOne[CounterSpecEvent]

```
def P = proc("P"){ repeat { c!A; statefulSpec.log(A) }
def Q = proc("Q"){ repeat { c!B; statefulSpec.log(B) }
```

def Consumer = proc("Consumer"){ repeat { println(c?) } }
val System = P || Q || Consumer

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Timing Evaluation**

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Evaluated the wall-time range of Commstime for a single cycle of the network for each test

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

• In the parallel variant, Delta outputs to Consumer and Succ concurrently using an inner parallel composition.

# **Timing Evaluation**

- For Windows 7:
  - Without deadlock detection:
    - Sequential case: overhead < 10%
    - Parallel case: overhead  $\sim 16\%$
  - With deadlock detection
    - Sequential case: overhead increase  $\sim 5\%$
    - Parallel case: overhead increase in upper ranges
- For Linux, Solaris, Mac OS X:
  - Without deadlock detection:
    - Sequential case: overhead < 10%
    - Parallel case: overhead < 2%
  - Running deadlock detection did increase upper range of time on Solaris 11 and Mac OS X.

![](_page_16_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### **Future Work**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Extend for hybrid approaches to currency, with local shared-variable concurrency
  - Example: Distributed systems
- Mobile channels are supported by CSO, but not presently by our tool
- Cache historic data to disk for long runs
- Log clones of objects communicated, not just a reference

## **Previous Work**

- Concurrency simulators
- INQUEST Transputer Network Debugger (1993)
  - Allow modification of program at runtime
  - Breakpoints, watchpoints for specific threads
  - Step through individual threads
  - Similar tool developed of occam-π by Ritson and Simpson (2008)
- INMOS Transputer Development System (1987) provided deadlock detection
  - Required source code modification and changes to underlying communication network
- Visputer (1995) for occam 2 produced sequenced diagrams of inter-process communications, but only after the network had terminated

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Summary

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Diagramming of internal state
  - Provides an intrinsic explanation for the extensional behaviour of the program
- Guarantees to detect illegal use of CSO library
- Behavioural specifications: constraints on trace patterns
- Dynamic deadlock detection
- Low overhead