More Mobile Escape Analysis for occam-pi PLAS Research Group Seminar

Martin Ellis School of Computing, University of Kent, Canterbury

M.C.Ellis@kent.ac.uk
http://www.cs.kent.ac.uk/~me92/





Mobile Escape Analysis

- Existing semantic models: traces, failures and divergences.
- New semantic model: **mobility**.
 - primarily interested in how mobiles and data move around a system.
 - to determine the boundaries of any particular mobile or data item within the communication graph.
 - where that graph may be dynamic and evolve at run-time.



mobility $ID = \{\}$

For an 'MID' process that transports/buffers mobiles:

mobility $MID = \{in?^a, out!^a\}$



For an 'MID' process that transports/buffers mobiles:

An ID process.



mobility $ID = \{\}^1$

• For an 'MID' process that transports/buffers mobiles:



An ID process.



mobility $ID = \{\}^1$

• For an 'MID' process that transports/buffers mobiles:



Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

- simply the **set union** of the different branches.
- hiding is more complex e.g. as above with 'Lc'.
- essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combining those sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

```
PROC P (CHAN MOBILE THING out!)
MOBILE THING x:
SEQ
... initialise 'x'
out ! x
:
```

As are choice (ALT, IF, CASE) and parallelism (PAR).
 simply the set union of the different branches.
 hiding is more complex - e.g. as above with '*Lc*'.
 essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combin sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

```
PROC P (CHAN MOBILE THING out!)
MOBILE THING x:
SEQ
... initialise 'x'
out ! x
:
```

mobility $P = \{ \langle out!^x \rangle \}$

As are choice (ALT, IF, CASE) and parallelism (PAR).
 simply the set union of the different branches.
 hiding is more complex - e.g. as above with 'Lc'.
 essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combin sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

```
PROC Q (CHAN MOBILE THING in?)
MOBILE THING y:
   SEQ
    in ? y
    ... use 'y'
:
```

mobility $P = \{ \langle out!^x \rangle \}$

As are choice (ALT, IF, CASE) and parallelism (PAR).
 simply the set union of the different branches.
 hiding is more complex - e.g. as above with '*Lc*'.
 essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combini sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

```
PROC Q (CHAN MOBILE THING in?)
MOBILE THING y:
SEQ
in ? y
... use 'y'
:
```

 $mobility P = \{ \langle out!^{\times} \rangle \}$ $mobility Q = \{ \langle in?^{y} \rangle \}$

As are choice (ALT, IF, CASE) and parallelism (PAR).
 simply the set union of the different branches.
 hiding is more complex - e.g. as above with 'Lc'.
 essentially matching outputs with inputs, and comb

 essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combining those sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

```
PROC R (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := v
out ! w
:
```

 $mobility P = \{ \langle out!^{\times} \rangle \}$ $mobility Q = \{ \langle in?^{y} \rangle \}$

- simply the **set union** of the different branches.
- hiding is more complex e.g. as above with 'Lc'.
- essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combining those sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

```
PROC R (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := v
out ! w
:
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{mobility} \ \mathbf{P} = \{\langle \textit{out}^{!\mathsf{x}} \rangle \} \\ \textit{mobility} \ \mathbf{Q} = \{\langle \textit{in}?^{\mathsf{y}} \rangle \} \\ \textit{mobility} \ \mathbf{R} = \{\langle \textit{in}?^{\mathsf{v}}, \textit{Lc}!^{\mathsf{v}} \rangle, \\ \langle \textit{Lc}?^{\mathsf{w}}, \textit{out}!^{\mathsf{w}} \rangle \} \setminus \{\textit{Lc}\} \end{array}$

As are choice (ALT, IF, CASE) and parallelism (PAR).
 simply the set union of the different branches.
 hiding is more complex - e.g. as above with 'Lc'.
 essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combin sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

| PROC R (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!) | | | mobility $\mathrm{P} = \{\langle \textit{out}!^{x} \rangle\}$ | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| SEQ in ? v w := v | | | mobility $Q = \{\langle in?^y \rangle\}$ | | |
| | | | mobility $\mathbf{R} = \{ \langle in?^{\mathbf{v}}, Lc!^{\mathbf{v}} \rangle, \}$ | | |
| : | out ! w | | | $\langle Lc?^w, out!^w \rangle \} \setminus \{Lc\}$ | |
| L | based on the equivalence: x := y = PA | | AN INT c: R c ! y c ? x | $=\{\langle in?^u, out!^u \rangle\}$ | |

- simply the **set union** of the different branches.
- hiding is more complex e.g. as above with 'Lc'.
- essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combining those sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

mobility $P = \{ \langle out!^{\times} \rangle \}$ PROC R (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!) MOBILE THING v. w: mobility $Q = \{ \langle in?^y \rangle \}$ SEQ in ? v mobility $\mathbf{R} = \{ \langle in?^{\mathbf{v}}, Lc!^{\mathbf{v}} \rangle, \}$ w := vout ! w $(Lc?^{w}, out!^{w}) \} \setminus \{Lc\}$: CHAN INT c: $= \{ \langle in?^u, out!^u \rangle \}$ based on the PAR. x := y Ξ c ! y equivalence: c?x

- simply the set union of the different branches.
- hiding is more complex e.g. as above with '*Lc*'.
- essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combining those sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

mobility $P = \{ \langle out!^{\times} \rangle \}$ PROC R (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!) MOBILE THING v. w: mobility $Q = \{ \langle in?^y \rangle \}$ SEQ in ? v mobility $\mathbf{R} = \{ \langle in?^{\mathsf{v}}, Lc!^{\mathsf{v}} \rangle, \}$ w := vout ! w $(Lc?^{w}, out!^{w}) \} \setminus \{Lc\}$: CHAN INT c: $= \{ \langle in?^u, out!^u \rangle \}$ based on the PAR. x := y ≡ c ! y equivalence: c?x

- simply the set union of the different branches.
- hiding is more complex e.g. as above with '*Lc*'.
- essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combining those sequences (potentially expansive!)

Input, output and assignment are largely straightforward:

| PRO | C R (CHAN MOBILE THIN | G in?, out | !) | mobili | $ty\mathrm{P} = \{\langle \mathbb{H}?^{x}, out!^{x} \rangle\}$ |
|-----|-------------------------------------|------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| SEQ | | | mobility $\mathbf{Q} = \{ \langle in?^{y}, \mathbb{H}!^{y} \rangle \}$ | | |
| | w := v | | | mobili | $ity \mathbf{R} = \{ \langle in?^{v}, Lc!^{v} \rangle, $ |
| : | out ! w | | | | $\langle Lc?^w, out!^w \rangle \} \setminus \{Lc\}$ |
| | based on the equivalence: x := y | 7 ≡ | CHA | AN INT c: } c ! y c ? x | $=\{\langle in?^u, out!^u angle\}$ |

- simply the **set union** of the different branches.
- hiding is more complex e.g. as above with '*Lc*'.
- essentially matching outputs with inputs, and combining those sequences (potentially expansive!)



When composed in parallel, with renaming for parameter passing and avoiding capture, this gives the mobility set:

 $\begin{aligned} \text{mobility net} &= \{ \langle A?^{s}, X!^{s} \rangle, \langle A?^{b}, p!^{b} \rangle, \langle B?^{c}, q!^{c} \rangle, \langle B?^{d}, r!^{d} \rangle \\ &\quad \langle s!^{e} \rangle, \langle p?^{f}, Y!^{f} \rangle, \langle q?^{g}, Y!^{g} \rangle, \langle r?^{h} \rangle, \langle s?^{h} \rangle \} \setminus \{ p, q, r, s \} \end{aligned}$





When composed in parallel, with renaming for parameter passing and avoiding capture, this gives the mobility set:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mobility net} &= \{ \langle A?^a, X!^a \rangle, \langle A?^b, p!^b \rangle, \langle B?^c, q!^c \rangle, \langle B?^d, r!^d \rangle \\ &\quad \langle s!^e \rangle, \langle p?^f, Y!^f \rangle, \langle q?^g, Y!^g \rangle, \langle r?^h \rangle, \langle s?^h \rangle \} \setminus \{ p, q, r, s \} \end{aligned}$$

Hiding the internal channels gives:

$$\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\langle A ?^{a}, X !^{a} \rangle, \langle A ?^{b}, Y !^{b} \rangle, \langle B ?^{c}, q !^{c} \rangle, \langle B ?^{d}, r !^{d} \rangle, \langle s !^{e} \rangle, \langle q ?^{g}, Y !^{g} \rangle, \\ & \langle r ?^{h} \rangle, \langle s ?^{h} \rangle \} \\ \\ \underbrace{\langle 4 ?^{a}, X !^{a} \rangle, \langle A ?^{b}, Y !^{b} \rangle, \langle B ?^{c}, Y !^{c} \rangle, \langle B ?^{d}, r !^{d} \rangle, \langle s !^{e} \rangle, \langle r ?^{h} \rangle, \langle s ?^{h} \rangle \} \\ \\ \underbrace{\langle 4 ?^{a}, X !^{a} \rangle, \langle A ?^{b}, Y !^{b} \rangle, \langle B ?^{c}, Y !^{c} \rangle, \langle B ?^{d} \rangle, \langle s !^{e} \rangle, \langle s ?^{h} \rangle \} \\ \\ \underbrace{\langle 4 ?^{a}, X !^{a} \rangle, \langle A ?^{b}, Y !^{b} \rangle, \langle B ?^{c}, Y !^{c} \rangle, \langle B ?^{d} \rangle \} \\ \\ \end{array}$$

- Which indicates that mobiles arriving on A escape on X and Y; and that mobiles arriving on B escape on Y or are consumed internally.
 - by what is not present: no mobiles received on A are discarded internally; and that no internally generated mobiles escape.

Hiding the internal channels gives:

$$\overset{\langle \{q\}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \{\langle A?^a, X!^a \rangle, \langle A?^b, Y!^b \rangle, \langle B?^c, Y!^c \rangle, \langle B?^d, r!^d \rangle, \langle s!^e \rangle, \langle r?^h \rangle, \langle s?^h \rangle\}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\backslash \{r\}} \quad \{\langle A?^{a}, X!^{a} \rangle, \langle A?^{b}, Y!^{b} \rangle, \langle B?^{c}, Y!^{c} \rangle, \langle B?^{d} \rangle, \langle s!^{e} \rangle, \langle s?^{h} \rangle\}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\{\langle A ?^a, X !^a \rangle, \langle A ?^b, Y !^b \rangle, \langle B ?^c, Y !^c \rangle, \langle B ?^d \rangle\}} \xrightarrow{A?}_{\text{net}} \xrightarrow{X!}_{\text{Y!}}$$

- Which indicates that mobiles arriving on A escape on X and Y; and that mobiles arriving on B escape on Y or are consumed internally.
 - by what is not present: no mobiles received on A are discarded internally; and that no internally generated mobiles escape.

Hiding the internal channels gives:

$$\xrightarrow{\langle q \rangle} \{ \langle A?^{a}, X!^{a} \rangle, \langle A?^{b}, Y!^{b} \rangle, \langle B?^{c}, Y!^{c} \rangle, \langle B?^{d}, r!^{d} \rangle, \langle s!^{e} \rangle, \langle r?^{h} \rangle, \langle s?^{h} \rangle \}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\backslash \{r\}} \quad \{\langle A?^{a}, X!^{a} \rangle, \langle A?^{b}, Y!^{b} \rangle, \langle B?^{c}, Y!^{c} \rangle, \langle B?^{d} \rangle, \langle s!^{e} \rangle, \langle s?^{h} \rangle\}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\{\langle A ?^a, X !^a \rangle, \langle A ?^b, Y !^b \rangle, \langle B ?^c, Y !^c \rangle, \langle B ?^d \rangle\}} \xrightarrow{A?}_{\text{net}} \xrightarrow{X!}_{Y!}$$

- Which indicates that mobiles arriving on A escape on X and Y; and that mobiles arriving on B escape on Y or are consumed internally.
 - by what is not present: no mobiles received on A are discarded internally; and that no internally generated mobiles escape.

Modelling Non-Mobiles

 All non-mobile occam-pi code can be converted into a pure mobile equivalent.

=

```
PROC R (CHAN THING in?, out!)
THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := v
out ! w
:
```

Modelling Non-Mobiles

 All non-mobile occam-pi code can be converted into a pure mobile equivalent.

```
PROC R (CHAN THING in?, out!)
                                       PROC MR (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
  THING v, w:
                                         MOBILE THING v, w:
  SEQ
                                         SEQ
                                            in ? v
    in?v
                                    =
                                            w := CLONE v
    w
         v
                                           out ! CLONE w
    out ! w
:
                                       :
```

Modelling Non-Mobiles

 All non-mobile occam-pi code can be converted into a pure mobile equivalent.



a ← {b, c} means mobile a is made from data a and b.
a ← {b} ≡ a ← b
{⟨out!^a⟩} ≡ {⟨out!^{a ← {a}}⟩}

```
PROC MR1 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := v
out ! w
:
```

$$\begin{split} \text{mobility MR1} &= \{\langle in?^a, out!^a \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR2} &= \{\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR3} &= \{\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR4} &= \{\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \} \end{split}$$

```
PROC MR1 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := v
out ! w
:
```

```
PROC MR1 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := v
out ! w
:
```

```
PROC MR1 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := v
out ! w
:
```

mobility $MR1 = \{ \langle in?^a, out!^a \rangle \}$

mobility MR2 = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle$ } mobility MR3 = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a, \tau\}} \rangle$ } mobility MR4 = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a, \tau\}} \rangle$ }

```
PROC MR2 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := CLONE v
out ! w
:
```

mobility $MR1 = \{ \langle in?^a, out!^a \rangle \}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{mobility MR2} = \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR3} = \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a, \tau\}} \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR4} = \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a, \tau\}} \rangle \} \end{array}$

•
$$a \leftarrow \{b, c\}$$
 means mobile a is made from data a and b.
• $a \leftarrow \{b\} \equiv a \leftarrow b$
• $\{\langle out!^a \rangle\} \equiv \{\langle out!^{a \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle\}$

```
PROC MR2 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := CLONE v
out ! w
:
```

 $\begin{aligned} &\textit{mobility} \text{ MR1} = \{\langle in?^a, out!^a \rangle\} \\ &\textit{mobility} \text{ MR2} = \{\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle\} \\ &\textit{mobility} \text{ MR3} = \{\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle\} \\ &\textit{mobility} \text{ MR4} = \{\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle\} \end{aligned}$

•
$$a \leftarrow \{b, c\}$$
 means mobile a is made from data a and b.
• $a \leftarrow \{b\} \equiv a \leftarrow b$
• $\{\langle out!^a \rangle\} \equiv \{\langle out!^{a \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle\}$

```
PROC MR3 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
v := v + 1
out ! v
:
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{mobility} \ \mathrm{MR1} = \{\langle \textit{in?}^a, \textit{out!}^a \rangle\} \\ \textit{mobility} \ \mathrm{MR2} = \{\langle \textit{in?}^a, \textit{out!}^{b \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle\} \\ \textit{mobility} \ \mathrm{MR3} = \{\langle \textit{in?}^a, \textit{out!}^{a \leftarrow \{a, \tau\}} \rangle\} \\ \textit{mobility} \ \mathrm{MR4} = \{\langle \textit{in?}^a, \textit{out!}^{b \leftarrow \{a, \tau\}} \rangle\} \end{array}$

•
$$a \leftarrow \{b, c\}$$
 means mobile a is made from data a and b.
• $a \leftarrow \{b\} \equiv a \leftarrow b$
• $\{\langle out!^a \rangle\} \equiv \{\langle out!^{a \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle\}$

```
PROC MR3 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
v := v + 1
out ! v
:
```

$$\begin{split} \text{mobility MR1} &= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^a \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR2} &= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR3} &= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR4} &= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \} \end{split}$$

•
$$a \leftarrow \{b, c\}$$
 means mobile a is made from data a and b .
• $a \leftarrow \{b\} \equiv a \leftarrow b$
• $\{\langle out!^a \rangle\} \equiv \{\langle out!^{a \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle\}$

```
PROC MR4 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := v + 1
out ! w
:
```

$$\begin{split} \text{mobility MR1} &= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^a \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR2} &= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a\}} \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR3} &= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \} \\ \text{mobility MR4} &= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \} \end{split}$$

```
PROC MR4 (CHAN MOBILE THING in?, out!)
MOBILE THING v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
w := v + 1
out ! w
:
```

 External Channel Mobiles read in from external channels contain their own data.

PROC foo
$$(a, b) = \{ \langle a?^{\alpha}, b!^{\alpha \leftarrow \{\alpha\}} \rangle \}$$

From the Heap Mobiles retrieved form the heap are made from undeclared (σ) data. ²

PROC bar(a) = {
$$\langle \mathbb{H}?^{\beta}, a!^{\beta \leftarrow \{\sigma\}} \rangle$$
}

From Internal State Internal state (often constants) is represented as τ .

PROC foobar(a) = {
$$\langle \mathbb{H}?^{\delta}, a!^{\delta \leftarrow \{\tau\}} \rangle$$
}

²This is not usualy possible in occam due to default initialisers.

Reviewing our earlier example...



Reviewing our earlier example...



```
PROC S (MOBILE CHAN INT in1?, in2?, out!)
INT v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
in ? w
IF
v = 0
out ! v
w = 0
out ! v
TRUE
out ! w
:
```

$$\begin{split} & \{ \langle in1?^{A}, out!^{A \leftarrow \{B, tau\}} \rangle, \langle in2?^{B}, out!^{B \leftarrow \{A, B, tau\}} \rangle, \\ & \langle in2?^{B}, out!^{B \leftarrow \{A, B, tau\}} \rangle, \langle in1?^{A}, \mathbb{H}!^{A} \rangle, \langle in2?^{B}, \mathbb{H}!^{B} \rangle \end{split} \}$$

$$\begin{split} & \{\langle in1?^{A}, out!^{A \leftarrow \{B, tau\}} \rangle, \langle in2?^{B}, out!^{B \leftarrow \{A, B, tau\}} \rangle, \\ & \langle in2?^{B}, out!^{B \leftarrow \{A, B, tau\}} \rangle, \langle in1?^{A}, \mathbb{H}!^{A} \rangle, \langle in2?^{B}, \mathbb{H}!^{B} \rangle \end{split}$$

```
PROC S (MOBILE CHAN INT in1?, in2?, out!)
INT v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
in ? v
IF
v = 0
out ! v
w = 0
out ! v
TRUE
out ! w
:
```

$$\{ \langle in1?^{A}, out!^{A \leftarrow \{B, tau\}} \rangle, \langle in2?^{B}, out!^{B \leftarrow \{A, B, tau\}} \rangle, \\ \langle in2?^{B}, out!^{B \leftarrow \{A, B, tau\}} \rangle, \langle in1?^{A}, \mathbb{H}!^{A} \rangle, \langle in2?^{B}, \mathbb{H}!^{B} \rangle = \}$$

```
PROC S (MOBILE CHAN INT in1?, in2?, out!)
INT v, w:
SEQ
in ? v
in ? w
IF
v = 0
out ! v
w = 0
out ! v
TRUE
out ! w
:
```

$$\begin{split} & \{\langle in1?^{A}, out!^{A \leftarrow \{B, tau\}} \rangle, \langle in2?^{B}, out!^{B \leftarrow \{A, B, tau\}} \rangle, \\ & \langle in2?^{B}, out!^{B \leftarrow \{A, B, tau\}} \rangle, \langle in1?^{A}, \mathbb{H}!^{A} \rangle, \langle in2?^{B}, \mathbb{H}!^{B} \rangle \end{split}$$



- mobility integrate.spec(in, out) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- mobility integrate(in, out) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- mobility integrate.spec(in, out) $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ mobility integrate(in, out)
- $= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}} \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \}$



- mobility integrate.spec(in, out) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- *mobility* integrate(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- mobility integrate.spec(*in*, *out*) $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ mobility integrate(*in*, *out*)
- $= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}} \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \}$



- *mobility* integrate.spec(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- *mobility* integrate(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- mobility integrate.spec(in, out) ⊆_M mobility integrate(in, out)
- $= \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}} \{ \langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle \}$



- *mobility* integrate.spec(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- *mobility* integrate(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- mobility integrate.spec(in, out) $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ mobility integrate(in, out)
- { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ } $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }



- *mobility* integrate.spec(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- *mobility* integrate(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- mobility integrate.spec(in, out) $\not\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ mobility integrate(in, out)
- { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ } $\not\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }



- mobility integrate.spec(in, out) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- mobility mob.integrate(in, out) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- *mobility* integrate.spec(*in*, *out*) $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ *mobility* mob.integrate(*in*, *out*)
- { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ } $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }

- We can now trace data movement.
- New operator $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{D}}$ as data refinement.
- \blacksquare Only concerned about things on the right hand side of the \leftarrow operator.



- *mobility* integrate.spec(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- *mobility* integrate(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- mobility integrate.spec(in, out) $\not \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ mobility integrate(in, out)
- { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ } $\not \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ } $\subseteq_{\mathcal{D}}$ { $\langle in?^a, out!^{b \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- $\{\langle in?^{\{a\}}, out!^{\{a,\tau\}}\rangle\} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{D}} \{\langle in?^{\{a\}}, out!^{\{a,\tau\}}\rangle\}$



- *mobility* integrate.spec(*in*, *out*) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- mobility mob.integrate(in, out) = { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- *mobility* integrate.spec(*in*, *out*) $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ *mobility* mob.integrate(*in*, *out*)
- { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ } $\subseteq_{\mathcal{M}}$ { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ } $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{D}}$ { $\langle in?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,\tau\}} \rangle$ }
- $\{\langle in?^{\{a\}}, out!^{\{a,\tau\}}\rangle\} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{D}} \{\langle in?^{\{a\}}, out!^{\{a,\tau\}}\rangle\}$



Security

mobility secure.spec(in, out) =

```
{ ⟨private.data?<sup>a</sup>, output!<sup>a←{a,τ}</sup>⟩, ⟨input?<sup>b</sup>, ℍ!<sup>b</sup>⟩,
 ⟨ℍ?<sup>c</sup>, private.output!<sup>c←{a,b,τ</sup></sup>⟩}
mobility secure
```

| BUFFER | $\begin{array}{l} PROC \ BUFFER(\textit{in},\textit{out}) \\ \{\langle\textit{in}?^a,\textit{out}!^a\rangle\} \end{array} = \\ \end{array}$ |
|-------------|---|
| GENERATOR → | $\begin{array}{l} PROC \ GENERATOR(\mathit{out}) &= \\ \{ \langle \mathbb{H}?^{a}, \mathit{out}!^{a \leftarrow \{\tau\}} \rangle \} \end{array}$ |
| BLACKHOLE | $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:proc_black} PROC \ BLACKHOLE(out) &= \\ \{ \langle \mathit{in}?^{a}, \mathbb{H}!^{a} \rangle \} \end{array}$ |
| PLUS | $\begin{array}{l} PROC \ PLUS(in1, in2, out) \\ \{\langle in1?^a, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,b\}} \rangle, \\ \langle in2?^b, out!^{a \leftarrow \{a,b\}} \rangle \} \end{array}$ |



³Though this is not valid in traditional Occam it is possible with shared mobiles.

Conclusions

Detect mobile escape:

- For optimisation.
- Detect data escape:
 - For security.
 - For consistancy checking.

Limitations:

- Data escape is a over approximation.
- Structured data is modelled as single item.
- Everything escapes everywhere.

The End

Any questions?





References



R. Milner.

Communicating and Mobile Systems: the Pi-Calculus. Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0-52165-869-1.

- With the ordinary semantic models, we have a notion of **refinement**.
- no reason why one should not exist for the mobility model presented here:

$$P \sqsubseteq_M Q \equiv mobility \ Q \subseteq mobility \ P$$

- The informal interpretation is that Q is "less leaky" than P, when it comes to mobile escape.
 - some fudge required in the subset operation: e.g. $\{\langle c?^x \rangle\}$ refines $\{\langle c?^x, d!^x \rangle\}$, as does $\{\langle d!^y \rangle\}$.
 - can arise in an implementation that *copies* data between mobiles.

- Hiding is not always an reducing operation:
 - can easily **blow-up**, reflecting the different possibilities for mobiles.

$$\{ \langle A?^{a}, c!^{a} \rangle, \langle B?^{b}, c!^{b} \rangle, \langle c?^{f}, X!^{f} \rangle, \langle c?^{g}, Y!^{g} \rangle, \langle c?^{h}, Z!^{h} \rangle \}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\{c\}} \{ \langle A?^{a}, X!^{a} \rangle, \langle A?^{a}, Y!^{a} \rangle, \langle A?^{a}, Z!^{a} \rangle, \\ \langle B?^{b}, X!^{b} \rangle, \langle B?^{b}, Y!^{b} \rangle, \langle B?^{b}, Z!^{b} \rangle \}$$

Worse-case is limited by type compatibility.

Denotational Semantics

• Alphabets (for any particular **occam**- π process):

- output channels: $\Sigma^!$, input channels: $\Sigma^?$, such that $\Sigma = \Sigma^! \cup \Sigma^?$.
- also grouped by type: Σ_t , where *t* is a valid occam- π protocol and $t \in \mathbb{T}$, where \mathbb{T} is the set of valid occam- π protocols.
 - following on: $\Sigma_t = \Sigma_t^! \cup \Sigma_t^?$, and $\forall t : \mathbb{T} \cdot \Sigma_t \subseteq \Sigma$.

• for shared mobiles:
$$\Sigma_+ = \Sigma_+^! \cup \Sigma_+^?$$
.

Primitive processes:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{mobility SKIP} = \langle \rangle \\ \text{mobility STOP} = \langle \rangle \\ \text{mobility div} = \text{mobility CHAOS} = \\ \{ \langle C!^a \rangle \mid C \in \Sigma^! \} \cup \{ \langle D?^x \rangle \mid D \in \Sigma^? \} \cup \\ \{ \langle C?^v, D!^v \rangle \mid \forall t : \mathbb{T} \cdot (C, D) \in \Sigma^?_t \times \Sigma^!_t ) \} \end{array}
```

Denotational Semantics

Choice:

mobility
$$(P \Box Q) = (mobility P) \cup (mobility Q)$$

mobility $(P \sqcap Q) = (mobility P) \cup (mobility Q)$

Interleaving and parallelism:

mobility $(P \parallel Q) = (mobility P) \cup (mobility Q)$

Hiding:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mobility } (P \setminus x) &= \left\{ M^{\wedge} N[\alpha/\beta] \mid \\ & \left(M^{\wedge} \langle x!^{\alpha} \rangle, \langle x?^{\beta} \rangle^{\wedge} N \right) \in \text{mobility } P \times \text{mobility } P \right\} \cup \\ & \left((\text{mobility } P) - \left(\left\{ F^{\wedge} \langle x!^{\alpha} \rangle \mid F^{\wedge} \langle x!^{\alpha} \rangle \in \text{mobility } P \right\} \right. \\ & \cup \left\{ \langle x?^{\beta} \rangle^{\wedge} G \mid \langle x?^{\beta} \rangle^{\wedge} G \in \text{mobility } P \right\} \right) \right) \cup \\ & \left\{ H \mid (H^{\wedge} \langle x!^{\alpha} \rangle) \in \text{mobility } P \wedge (\langle x?^{\beta} \rangle^{\wedge} I) \notin \text{mobility } P \wedge H \neq \langle \rangle \right\} \cup \\ & \left\{ J \mid (\langle x?^{\beta} \rangle^{\wedge} J) \in \text{mobility } P \wedge (J^{\wedge} \langle x!^{\alpha} \rangle) \notin \text{mobility } P \wedge J \neq \langle \rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$