National HPC Facilities at EPCC

Exploiting Massively Parallel Architectures for Scientific Simulation

Dr Andy Turner, EPCC a.turner@epcc.ed.ac.uk



Outline

- HPC architecture state of play and trends
- National Services in Edinburgh:
 - HECToR
 - ARCHER
 - DiRAC Bluegene/Q
- HPC Software Challenges
- Final Thoughts



What is EPCC?

- A leading European centre of novel and high-performance computing expertise based at the University of Edinburgh
- Formed in 1990 and involved in:
 - Research
 - Collaboration
 - Training
 - Service provision
 - Technology transfer
- Around 70 staff
- Provide national services on behalf of RCUK



Concurrent Programming and HPC







HPC Architectures

State of play and trends



HPC == Parallel Computing

- Scientific simulation and modelling drive the need for greater computing power.
- Single systems can not be made that had enough resource for the simulations needed.
 - Making faster single chip is difficult due to both physical limitations and cost.
 - Adding more memory to single chip is expensive and leads to complexity.
- Solution: parallel computing divide up the work among numerous linked systems.



Processors

- Not many HPC processors any more
 - Use components designed for server and games industries
 - Exceptions: IBM Power, IBM BlueGene
- Trends:
 - More concurrency higher core counts per socket
 - Longer SIMD vector-like instructions
 - Gating to reduce power usage
 - Stabilisation of clock speeds no increase but the downwards trend has slowed (at least for multicore processors)
- Splitting into a number of classes
 - Complex multicore (2-3 GHz, Intel Xeon, IBM Power, AMD Opeteron)
 - Simpler manycore (1-2 GHz, Intel Xeon Phi IBM BG)
 - Heterogeneous processing (AMD Fusion, NVIDIA Denver)





Accelerators

- NVIDIA GPGPU and Intel Xeon Phi
 - Even more FP SIMD capability than CPUs
 - Simplified memory architectures (no NUMA, limited cache)
 - Simplified logic limited support for branching, etc.
- Usually linked to CPU via PCI express
 - Separate memory spaces makes it difficult to get high performance
- Some systems support socket-mounting of accelerators
 - Move from multi-core to many-core
- Trend for convergence of CPU and accelerator technologies



Memory

- Amount of memory per processing element is generally reducing
 - Memory is expensive both in terms of cost and power
 - Often in a NUMA setup which can cause difficulties in extracting best performance
- Trends:
 - Memory performance is increasing: reduction in latency, increase in bandwidth...
 - ...but not as quickly as increases in concurrency
 - Accelerators are leading to a simplification of memory architecture but adding more constraints on realising performance



Ο

- Local disk is being abandoned in favour of global, parallel filesystems
- Often designed for high performance writing of a small number of large files – other modes do not give best performance
- Trend is to larger parallel filesystems with more aggregate bandwidth
- Moving data is now one of the most expensive operations
 - Lot of interest in mobile compute bring the compute to the data
 - HPC systems must be collocated with long-term data storage



Interconnects

- Various interconnect technologies are converging on common hardware performance
 - Not much difference between commodity (Infiniband) and proprietary (Cray, IBM) hardware
 - Differences now come in the topologies, software stack, and support for alternative parallel models
- Trends:
 - Moving network interfaces directly on to silicon
 - Using spare cores, hardware threads to support/control communications (core specialisation)



National Services in Edinburgh



HECToR

















Modelling dinosaur gaits Dr Bill Sellers, University of Manchester

Dye-sensitised solar cells F. Schiffmann and J. VandeVondele University of Zurich









Fractal-based models of turbulent flows Christos Vassilicos & Sylvain Laizet, 💬 Imperial College

由

HECToR Applications

% CPU Time



% Applications







HECToR Changes

	Phase 1 ('07-'09)	Phase 2a ('09-'10)	Phase 2b ('10-'11)	Phase 3 ('11-now)
Cabinets	60	60	20	30
Cores	11,328	22,656	44,544	90,112
Clock Speed	2.8 GHz	2.3 GHz	2.1 GHz	2.3 GHz
Cores/Node	2	4	24	32
Memory/Node	6 GB (3 GB/core)	8 GB (2 GB/core)	32 GB (1.3 GB/core)	32 GB (1 GB/core)
Interconnect	6 μs 2 GB/s	6 μs 2 GB/s	1 μs 5 GB/s	1 μs 5 GB/s







Example: CP2K Development

















DiRAC BlueGene/Q









BlueGene/Q: Co-design

- 18 core, 1.6 GHz BGQ Chip, quad DP SIMD instructions, 4 hardware threads per core
- Low-latency, high-bandwidth interconnect: 5D torus
- Designed in collaboration with Quantum Chromodynamics researchers
 - Runs QCD applications extremely well...
 - ...but it can be difficult to get good performance for other applications
- Non-commodity processors actually cause a problem here:
 - Compilers are not as well developed and key to getting performance is being able to generate SIMD instructions





HPC Software Development

Challenges for now and the future



Exposing Parallelism

- To be able to exploit modern HPC systems you need to be able to expose all levels of parallelism in your code:
 - SIMD/vector Instructions
 - Multicore (shared-memory)
 - Distributed memory
- Data decomposition over distributed memory is the really hard part
 - Compilers do a good job of exploiting SIMD instructions and shared memory
 - Very hard for compilers to do the high-level analysis required so this is done by hand



Parallel Programming Models

- MPI is still dominant model
 - Performance is not ideal but it is very flexible almost any combination of task and/or data parallelism can be implemented
 - Very portable it is well supported on all HPC machines
- Hybrid MPI+OpenMP has proven to be a useful model to get performance but introduces a lot of complexity
 - Which thread passes messages?
 - Process/thread placement becomes very important
- Trends:
 - Domain-specific languages
 - Autotuning
 - Single-sided communications



Legacy Code

- Some HPC codes are older than me there is a lot of time and expertise invested.
 - Should these be rewritten from scratch?
 - Can we improve the fundamental dependencies (*e.g.* MPI, PETSc, ScaLAPACK) to allow them to scale on modern/future architectures?
 - How can you encourage communities to migrate to new codes?
- The parallel programming model and decomposition is often implicitly assumed throughout the code
 - Difficult to refactor or add additional levels of parallelism
- Much effort spent in new parallel models but single biggest gain would be MPI improvement





Other Issues

- Memory Efficiency:
 - Amount of memory per core is decreasing but often want to run more complex simulations
 - Need to use multithreading to increase memory available without wasting compute resources
- Accelerators:
 - Still need hand-crafted code to exploit them efficiently
 - How can we make these resources generally useful
- Parallel IO:
 - 10,000 processes reading/writing at once?
 - How can you checkpoint PB of data?



Final Thoughts



What will future systems look like?

	2013	2017	2020
System Perf.	34 PFlops	100-200 PFlops	1 EFlops
Memory	1 PB	5 PB	10 PB
Node Perf.	200 GFlops	400 GFlops	1-10 TFlops
Concurrency	64	O(300)	O(1000)
Interconnect BW	40 GB/s	100 GB/s	200-400 GB/s
Nodes	100,000	500,000	O(Million)
I/O	2 TB/s	10 TB/s	20 TB/s
MTTI	Days	Days	O(1 Day)
Power	20 MW	20 MW	20 MW





Summary

- Advances in hardware are outstripping ability of software to keep up
 - Hardware currently talking about exascale...
 - ...struggling to get most codes to tera-/peta-scale
- All about parallelism
 - High level parallelism is still constructed by hand. Efforts to expose this to the compiler underway.
- Need to be memory efficient
- Think carefully about data distribution
- Is legacy code working or do you need to start over?



Any questions?

a.turner@epcc.ed.ac.uk

